



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,384	07/06/2001	Shekhar Kirani	LS/0010.00	7192
8791	7590	04/27/2005	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			JAROENCHONWANIT, BUNJOB	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				2143

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/900,384	KIRANI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit	2143	

– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2001.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-33 and 46-51 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 1-33 and 46-51 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/11/03, 3/26/03, 4/1/03

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____ .

Art Unit: 2143

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 2/28/05.
2. Applicant's election without traverse of invention Group I in the reply filed on 2/28/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
3. Claims 34-45 were cancelled. Claims 1-33 and 46-51 were elected and are pending for examination. The objection and rejection cited are as stated below.
4. Since claims 1-33 and 46-51 are subject to claiming Continuation In Part, the claimed inventions have been verified with the parent applicants 09/588875 filed 6/6/200 and 60/203407 filed 06/11/2000. The parent palpations do not support the claim subject matter. Thus, the claims subject matters could not entitle to a benefit of earliest filling date. The claimed inventions therefore, have an effective filling as of 26/2001.
5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-33 and 46-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butler et al (WO 00/72534 A1) and Gabrielsson et al (WO 01/01663 A1).
7. Regarding claims 1, 4-6, 18, 46 and 51 Butler-Gabrielsson discloses a method for automatically processing messages containing attachments, the method comprising: receiving a particular message having a particular attachment; removing the particular attachment from the particular message; inserting a link into the particular message, said link capable of referencing

the particular attachment that has been removed; in response to invocation of the link by the intended recipient, retrieving a copy of the particular attachment that is automatically formatted based on the specified preference (Butler - Abstract, Page 3, Lines 25-37; Page 3, Line 24-Page 4, Line 25; Page 5, Line 25-Page 6, Line 25; Page 7, Line 20-Page 11, Line 37).

Butler does not explicitly disclose specifying a preference for formatting attachments. However, in the same field of endeavor, a teaching which has objectives analogous to Butler and the claimed inventions, Gabrielsson teaches a system for communicated media object to an e-mail recipient, which is capable of modifying object format based on specified user preference (Gabrielsson, Page 13, Lines 24-25).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Butler by including the use of user preference in order to enable Butler's system to provide an object in accordance with user requirement. In doing would enable Butler's system to enhance its ability and flexibility in providing services to the users that have different levels of resources and capabilities (Gabrielsson – Abstract, Page 1, Line 20-Page 2, Line 8; Page 3, Lines 15-28; Page 4, Lines 9-32; Page 5, Lines 8-21; Page 6, Line 14-Page 8, Line 20; Pages 9, Lines 5-16; Page 12, Lines 9-25; Page 13, Lines 20-Page 14, Line 23).

8. Regarding claims 2 and 3, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses, preference is associated with a particular user (Gabrielsson, Page. 13, Lines 24-25).

9. Regarding claims 7, 8 and 27, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses preference includes specifying that attachments which comprise images be transformed from one file format to another (Gabrielsson - Page 13, Lines 24-25; Page 7, Line 25-Page 8, Line 8).

10. Regarding claim 9 and 49, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses receiving the particular message at an SMTP server (Butler, Page 4, Lines 21-26).

11. Regarding claim 10-11, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses removing attachment could be done at anywhere server including a mail server or at MTA, which employed SMTP protocol. Implicitly, Butler-Gabrielsson has readily taught removing an attachment at SMTP server or by the SMTP server.

12. Regarding claims 12-14, 47-48, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses message includes a MIME attachment (Butler – Page 3, Lines 24-37).

13. Regarding claims 15 and 33, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses link comprises a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) referencing said attachment that has been removed (Butler Page 6, Lines 2-8; Page 12, Lines 18-30).

14. Regarding claims 16-17, 19-20 and 28, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses copy of the particular attachment is automatically formatted when a request is received to retrieve the particular attachment (Gabrielsson – Page 3, Lines 19-27; Page 7, Line 25-Page 8, Line 20).

15. Regarding claims 21-22 and 26-, Butler-Gabrielsson discloses the invention substantially, as described in claim 1, including a notion of thinning and filtering, which are clearly applicable for down scaling image size and removing image detail, e.g., resolution, fidelity or removing color, which consequently would decrease fidelity or resolution of the image (Gabrielsson - Page 7, Line 25-Page 8, Line 20).

16. Regarding claims 23, 30, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses formatted copies of objects within the particular attachment are stored in a network repository (Butler Fig. 2, storage 27; Gabrielsson - Fig. 1, storage 100, 120).

Art Unit: 2143

17. Regarding claim 24, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses network repository is accessible by a Web browser for shared access among multiple participants (Butler Fig4, 48; Fig 5A-5C).

18. Regarding claims 25, 31 and 32, Bulter-Gabrielsson discloses attachment includes JPEG-formatted digital images (Gabrielsson, Page 7, Lines 3-6).

19. Regarding claim 50, Butler discloses attachment processing module operates as a plug-in module to an e-mail server (Butler - Fig. 2, MTA 2, plug-in 25)

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit whose telephone number is (571) 272-3913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2143

/bj
4/22/05